

July 20, 2006

Dear Honorable Judge Dean Whipple

I have been attempting to bring a claim for redress against several big corporations involved in the hospital supply market. Ex parte conversations between their defense counsel and federal judges and law clerks have worked against me and resulted in bizarre unpublished rulings contradicting the US Supreme Court and controlling case law.

I sought to bring a later action to your district (the defendants emboldened by being able to openly break the law come up with new ways to injure my family and business).¹ But, the case was moved to Kansas District Court where the fraud on the court is being committed unchecked. This was despite the important state interest Missouri has in stopping the artificial inflation of hospital supplies. You then decided to reciprocally disbar my counsel Bret D. Landrith without a hearing, despite the defendants' counsels' participation in causing the disbarment, having failed to provide law or fact in defense of my claims.

Now I have discovered that my state court action² has been interfered with by being removed to your district. On its face the motion for removal fraudulently asserts it is timely and within 30 days of a motion or other paper giving rise to federal jurisdiction. This is refuted by the attached records and the state court appearance docket that the defense counsel selectively omitted. However, what also frightens me is that somehow my action has been removed, given a case number, ordered to have a discovery plan produced by the defense counsel and ordered to mediation all within 24 hours and without service. This resembles the Kansas District court back channel communications and conduct of the court's business with large corporate defense firms.

These issues could be resolved in an unbiased forum but your district in transferring my earlier action to Kansas where the fraud on the court and sanctions for conforming to US Supreme Court decisions clearly threatened justice and in not permitting my counsel a hearing gives me cause to fear I will not receive a fair hearing. Just as a disinterested observer would.

I hope you might look to your district and ensure it upholds the public trust in impartial justice.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Samuel K. Lipari

cc: Mr. John Power
The Honorable Fernando J. Gaitan Jr.

¹ *Medical Supply Chain, Inc. v. Neoforma et al*, Case No. 05-0210-CV-W-ODS

² *Samuel Lipari v. General Electric et al*, Case No. 0616-cv-07421